

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,074	02/25/2004	Katsuyuki Sekine	04329.3247	8935	
22852 75	590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BREWSTER, WILLIAM M		
LLP 901 NEW YOR	K AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	N, DC 20001-4413	2823			

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/785,		SEKINE ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		 William I	M. Brewster	2823				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic				ddress			
Period fo	r Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sisons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF 1 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from opplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>10 November</u>	<u>2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex par</i> te 0	<i>∖uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	S) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority d							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			red in this Nationa	I Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	* **					
* 3	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachmen			□ •	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Per No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal I		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Generally

The election requirement of 13 October 2005 is to be amended for the following.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to means of controlling surface effects including three or more insulating layers, classified in class 257, subclass 637.
- Claims 10-20, drawn to silicon oxide formation with wave energy, classified in class 438, subclass 788.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process features in claim 10 can form a homogeneous insulating layer, as opposed to claim 1, lines 4-8, "the dielectric film having a concentration distribution with respect to a film thickness direction, the concentration distribution having a maximal value of concentration of the helium in a surface portion on the semiconductor region side and a maximal value of concentration of the nitrogen in a surface portion on a side opposite to the semiconductor region."

Art Unit: 2823

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Group I is chosen the following criteria will apply:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I: claims 1, 4, 7,having a maximal value of concentration of the helium in a surface portion on the semiconductor region side and a maximal value of concentration of the nitrogen in a surface portion on a side opposite to the semiconductor region; Species II: claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, having a maximal value of concentration of the nitrogen in a surface portion on the semiconductor region side and a second maximal value of concentration of the nitrogen in a surface portion on a side opposite to the semiconductor region.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2823

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If Group II is chosen the following criteria will apply:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I: claims 10, 11, 14, 17, 19, heating the semiconductor region and silicon oxide film in a helium gas atmosphere, forming an insulating film including silicon, oxygen, nitrogen; Species II: claims 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 20, nitriding the silicon oxide, changing a film forming condition at least once in the course of formation of the insulating film.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 2823

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William M. Brewster whose telephone number is 571-272-1854. The examiner can normally be reached on Full Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William M. Brenster

9 December 2005 WB